

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Reviewer***(title, name, surname)* |  |
| **Review submission date***(to be filled by the editor)* |  |

*This form is not provided to the author, it is kept for the Annual archives.*

|  |
| --- |
| **REVIEW OF MANUSCRIPT****Submitted to the Annual of Educational Studies****of the Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski – FESA** Title …………………………………………………………………………..…………………………………..…………………………………………………………………………………………… |

 **І. MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION**

*(Please, choose Yes, Partially or No for the below referred statements and provide comments, if applicable)*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  **№** | **Statements** | **yes** | **partially** | **no** |
|  1 | The title of the manuscript is correct and corresponds to the contents. |  |  |  |
|  2 | The abstract summarizes correctly the contents of the manuscript. |  |  |  |
|  3 | The objective or proposal of the manuscript is precisely formulated.  |  |  |  |
|  4 | The manuscript presents a significant new problem.  |  |  |  |
|  5 | The main thesis is well substantiated and evidence-based. |  |  |  |
|  6 | The presentation is clear, well-structured, with sound arguments.  |  |  |  |
|  7 | The author correctly uses the concepts and terminology.  |  |  |  |
|  8 | The research methods and instruments are reliable and validated. |  |  |  |
|  9 | The manuscript reveals the author’s achievements.  |  |  |  |
| 10 | The conclusions are based on the body text. |  |  |  |
| 11 | The language of the manuscript is clear, the style, spelling, and punctuation are correct. |  |  |  |
| 12 | The references outline current research in the scientific area. |  |  |  |
| 13 | The references are correctly referred in the manuscript. |  |  |  |
| 14 | The references comply with the instructions to authors. |  |  |  |
| 15 | The schedules (tables, figures, schemes, illustrations, reproductions, photos, sheet music, etc.) are necessary, complement the body text and are arranged in compliance to the instructions to authors.  |  |  |  |

. …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….………………………………………………………………………………………………….

**ІІ. SPECIFIC COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE REVIEWER** *(Please, use as much space as needed)*

1) Remarks and recommendations for corrections and improvements .............................................................

…………………………………………………………………………………………………..

…………………………………………………………………………………………………..

…………………………………………………………………………………………………..

…………………………………………………………………………………………………..

2) Other remarks ...................................................................................................................

……………………………………………………………………………………………….......

…………………………………………………………………………………………………..

**ІІІ. SUMMARIZED OPINION FOR ACCEPTANCE / REJECTION OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

*(Please state/give your opinion by ticking* ***only one*** *of the statements below.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **№** | **OPINION** | **YES** |
| **1** | To be published as is without need of corrections. |  |
| **2** | To be published after minor corrections according to the comments in the evaluation form.  |  |
| **3** | To be published after moderate revision according to the comments in the evaluation form and reviewed by the same reviewer. |  |
| **4** | To be rejected, and author encouraged to make major revision and submit the new manuscript for a new review.  |  |
| **5** | To be rejected, because the manuscript is not corresponding to the area of SU - – FESA. |  |

Reviews shall be sent within two weeks as of receipt of the manuscripts to:

annual-es@fnoi.uni-sofia.bg

 **Date**: ………………… **Reviewer**: ……….………

*(signature)*